

Facilitator(s): Cam Gale; Tom Folks

Initial Evaluation Date: 3/13/2019

| | |
|--|--|
| Evaluators: Bill Stevens , ORP - Wilderness/WSA/LWC Todd Murdock , Outdoor Recreation Planner (Permits) Lisa Wilkolak , Realty Specialist Gabe Bissonette , Aquatic Ecologist Ashley Losey , Archaeologist David Pals , Geologist Katie Stevens , Outdoor Recreation Planner Jordan Davis , Assistant Field Manager | Doug Wight , GIS Specialist David Williams , Range Conservationist Pam Riddle , Wildlife Biologist Adam Robison , Resource Specialist Mark Boshell , Policy Analyst Katherina Diemer , NRS Greg Halliday , County Council Bill Jackson , County Roads |
|--|--|

| | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| TMA: | Labyrinth Canyon/Gemini Bridges | | |
| Length: 0.07 mi. | Width: Dual Track | Class: Primitive Roads | Use Level: Low |
| Route Type(s): | Spur | | |
| Surface: | None identified by IDT | Maintained: | None identified by IDT |
| Origin: | None identified by IDT | Constructed: | None identified by IDT |
| Jurisdictions: | BLM | | |

Additional Information: None.

General Evaluation Questions

| | |
|--|------------|
| Does this route: | |
| • either wholly or in part, have a right-of-way grant or is it simply an officially-recognized route maintained by a county or another government agency? | NO |
| • provide commercial, private property, or administrative access, e.g., via permit, ingress/egress rights or other jurisdictional responsibility? | YES |
| • provide a principal means of connectivity within a Travel Management Area or Management Zone? | NO |
| • exist as a result of a previous agency land use or implementation-level planning document decision and is managed as a transportation facility asset? | NO |
| • provide an important linkage between Travel Management Areas or Management Zones? | NO |
| Does this route provide network connectivity that contributes to recreational opportunities, access to specific recreation sites, public safety, or other public multi-use access opportunities enumerated in agency Organic laws? | YES |
| Might the continued use of this route potentially impact: | |
| • State or Federal special status species or their habitat? | YES |
| • cultural or any other specially-protected resources or objects identified in Agency planning documents? | YES |
| • any special area designations, e.g., National Monuments? | YES |
| • any other resources of concern? | YES |
| Can the anticipated potential impacts to the identified resources be avoided, minimized, i.e., reduced to acceptable levels, or be mitigated? | YES |
| Can the commercial, private property, recreation or public uses of this route be adequately met by another route or routes that may minimize impacts to the resources identified as part of this evaluation or that may minimize cumulative effects on various other resources? | NO |

Evaluation Information

Commercial, Administrative, Property and Economics

The following items help to identify the purpose and need of this route. This route provides access to the following facilities and/or jurisdictions for the purpose of carrying out administrative and/or authorized operations or for jurisdictional access.

Primary Access *(leads directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, and IS the main route used for access)*

| Type | Description |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Range Facilities | Active Allotment |
| Mineral Facilities | Mining Claim |

Alternate Access *(leads directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, but IS NOT the main route used for access)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT | |

Link Access *(does not lead directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, but is required to access a primary access route)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT | |

Recreational Uses

The following items help to identify the purpose and need of this route. This route:

- provides public travel access to the listed recreation sites using the listed travel modes, and/or
- provides for recreational activity and experience opportunities in the area, and/or
- provides important route network connectivity for recreational access between two or more other routes.

Primary Access/Uses *(main route used to access the destinations or use activities listed)*

| Type | Description |
|-------------------------|--|
| Activities | Motorcycling Jeeping/4-Wheeling Scenic Driving |
| Modes of Transportation | Modified 4 Wheel Drive UTV/ATV Motorcycle |

Alternate Access / Secondary Uses *(used to access the destinations or use activities listed, but not considered the main route)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT | |

Link Access / Infrequent Uses *(rarely used to access the destinations or use activities listed)*

| Type | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT | |

Resource and Use Issues

The following items help to identify potential natural and cultural resource issues associated with the location and use of this route. This route is located in, leads to, crosses, or is within a set distance of the following resources or issues.

| Resource Type | Description |
|--------------------------|--|
| Biomes | In Pinyon-Juniper |
| Managed Species | In Desert bighorn sheep yearlong habitat |
| | Crosses Desert bighorn sheep lambing area |
| Special Status Plants | In Jones cycladenia modeled potential habitat |
| | In Navajo sedge potential geology |
| VRM/RSC | In VRM Class II - Retain existing character |
| Special Management Areas | In SRMA - Special Recreation Management Area |
| | In Recreation Management Zone |
| | In Habitat Management Area (Potash Confluence HMA) |
| Water Resources | Within 1/4 mile of Ephemeral stream |
| Misc. Resources | Within of Lands with Wilderness Characteristics |
| | In Erosive Soil - High Potential (Wind) |

Note: Specific sensitive resources, such as cultural resources, paleontological resources, or threatened or endangered species are not listed in this report for their protection, but were considered during the evaluation of this route.

Potential Alternative Route Designations

Alternative A (Current Management, No Action Alternative)

Area Designation:

Limited to Designated Routes

Route Designation:

Open

Specific designations by user type:

OHV Public: **Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Open** - The public may use this route by all motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Non-motorized Public: The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Authorized/Permitted Users: Authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.
Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.

Administrative/Official Users: All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.

Alternative B

Comprehensive Designation:

CLOSED

This route will be decommissioned and not managed as a BLM transportation asset. Unless otherwise signed, cross-country foot and animal use is allowed in the area.

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Closed

Specific Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: Closing this route, along with natural reclamation, would reduce visual contrast created by the route. Closing this route would contribute to retaining or restoring vegetation and soil cover, minimizing the potential for soil erosion. Closing this route would reduce overall impact of vehicle use and route footprint in the area. Closing this route minimizes the potential for route proliferation on public land. By closing this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for impacts to sensitive animal species.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

Closure Method: Sign Closed; Natural rehabilitation

Alternative C

Comprehensive Designation:

CLOSED

This route will be decommissioned and not managed as a BLM transportation asset. Unless otherwise signed, cross-country foot and animal use is allowed in the area.

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Closed

Specific Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: Closing this route, along with natural reclamation, would reduce visual contrast created by the route. Closing this route would contribute to retaining or restoring vegetation and soil cover, minimizing the potential for soil erosion. Closing this route would reduce overall impact of vehicle use and route footprint in the area. Closing this route minimizes the potential for route proliferation on public land. By closing this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for impacts to sensitive animal species.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

Closure Method: Sign Closed; Natural rehabilitation

Alternative D

Comprehensive Designation:

CLOSED

This route will be decommissioned and not managed as a BLM transportation asset. Unless otherwise signed, cross-country foot and animal use is allowed in the area.

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Closed

Specific Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: Closing this route, along with natural reclamation, would reduce visual contrast created by the route. Closing this route would contribute to retaining or restoring vegetation and soil cover, minimizing the potential for soil erosion. Closing this route would reduce overall impact of vehicle use and route footprint in the area. Closing this route minimizes the potential for route proliferation on public land. By closing this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for impacts to sensitive animal species.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

Closure Method: Sign Closed; Natural rehabilitation